



Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

> Mark Gerstein Yale

Slides freely downloadable from Lectures.GersteinLab.org & "tweetable" (via @MarkGerstein). No Conflicts for this Talk. See last slide for more info.

# Estimated numbers of **new cases** of invasive cancer in the United States in 2019 by sex and cancer type

E

			Males	Females		
Prostate	174,650	20%		Breast	268,600	30%
Lung & bronchus	116,440	13%		Lung & bronchus	111,710	13%
Colon & rectum	78,500	9%		Colon & rectum	67,100	8%
Urinary bladder	61,700	7%		Uterine corpus	61,880	7%
Melanoma of the skin	57,220	7%		Melanoma of the skin	39,260	4%
Kidney & renal pelvis	44,120	5%		Thyroid	37,810	4%
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	41,090	5%		Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	33,110	4%
Oral cavity & pharynx	38,140	4%		Kidney & renal pelvis	29,700	3%
Leukemia	35,920	4%		Pancreas	26,830	3%
Pancreas	29,940	3%		Leukemia	25,860	3%
All Sites	870,970	100%		All Sites	891,480	100%

1,762,450 new cases per year

~4,800 new cases per day



#### THE PRECISION MEDICINE INITIATIVE



"Doctors have always recognized that every patient is unique, and doctors have always tried to tailor their treatments as best they can to individuals. You can match a blood transfusion to a blood type — that was an important discovery. What if matching a cancer cure to our genetic code was just as easy, just as standard? What if figuring out the right dose of medicine was as simple as taking our temperature?"

- President Obama, January 30, 2015

### Much Interest in Precision Oncology

- Analysis of the exact somatic mutations in a individual
- Highlighting key mutations
- Targeting treatment

What if matching a cancer cure to our genetic code was just as easy

https://obamawhitehouse.archives.g ov/blog/2016/02/25/precisionmedicine-health-care-tailored-you

#### Overall Problem: Finding Key Variants in Personal Genomes

Millions of variants in a personal genome Thousands, in a cancer genome Different contexts for prioritization

In **rare disease**, only a few high-impact variants are associated with disease



In **cancer**, a few positively selected drivers amongst many passengers

In **common disease**, more variants associated & each has weaker effect, But one wants to find key "functional" variant amongst many in LD

#### Overall Problem: Finding Key Variants in Personal Genomes

Millions of variants in a personal genome Thousands, in a cancer genome Different contexts for prioritization

In **rare disease**, only a few high-impact variants are associated with disease



In **cancer**, a few positively selected drivers amongst many passengers

In **common disease**, more variants associated & each has weaker effect, But one wants to find key "functional" variant amongst many in LD

#### Thus: Need to find & prioritize high impact variants. Particularly hard for non-coding regions.

### Canonical model of drivers & passengers in cancer

#### **Drivers**

directly confer a selective growth advantage to the tumor cell.

A typical tumor contains 2-8 drivers.

identified through signals of positive selection.

Existing cohorts of ~100s give enough power to identify

#### **Passengers**

Conceptually, a passenger mutation has no direct or indirect effect on tumor progression.

There are 1000s of passengers in a typical cancer genome.



# PCAWG : most comprehensive resource for cancer whole genome analysis



Adapted from Campbell et. al., bioRxiv ('17)

**Project Goals:** 

- To understand role of non-coding regions of cancer genomes in disease progression.
- Union of TCGA-ICGC efforts
- Jointly analyzing ~2800 whole genome tumor/normal pairs
  - > 580 researchers
  - > 16 thematic working groups
  - ~30M total somatic SNVs



# A case study: pRCC

- Kidney cancer lifetime risk of 1.6% & the papillary type (pRCC) counts for ~10% of all cases
- TCGA sequenced 161 exomes & classified them into subtypes
- 35 WGS of TN pairs



(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • <u>Intro</u>

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

#### ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

#### • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.
- <u>Tumor Evolution:</u>
  <u>Classification +</u>
  Driver identification
  - Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes
  - Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

#### Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

#### Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

#### Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

#### ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

#### BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

#### Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

#### Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

#### **Non-coding Annotations: Overview**

Features are often present on multiple "scale" (eg elements and connected networks)

#### Sequence features, incl. Conservation

#### **Functional Genomics**

Chip-seq (Epigenome & seq. specific TF) and ncRNA & un-annotated transcription



#### Breadth Approach http://e

http://encodec.encodeproject.org/



External Resource

# ENICODE Experim

#### Finding "Conserved" Sites in the Human Population:

#### Negative selection in non-coding elements based on Production ENCODE & 1000G Phase 1





Differential selective constraints among specific sub-categories

Sub-categorization possible because of better statistics from 1000G phase 1 v pilot

[Khurana et al., Science ('13)]



#### Power-law distribution



#### Hubs Under Constraint: A Finding from the Network Biology Community

- High likelihood of positive selection
  Lower likelihood of positive selection
- Not under positive selection
- No data about positive selection

[Nielsen et al. *PLoS Biol.* (2005), HPRD, Kim et al. PNAS (2007)]

- <u>More Connectivity, More Constraint:</u> Genes & proteins that have a more central position in the network tend to evolve more slowly and are more likely to be essential.
- This phenomenon is observed in many organisms & different kinds of networks
  - **yeast PPI** Fraser et al ('02) Science, ('03) BMC Evo. Bio.
  - Ecoli PPI Butland et al ('04) Nature
  - Worm/fly PPI Hahn et al ('05) MBE
  - miRNA net Cheng et al ('09) BMC Genomics

# Funseq: a flexible framework to determine functional impact & use this to prioritize variants







- Info. theory based method (ie annotation "surprisal") for weighting consistently many genomic features
- Practical web server
- Submission of variants & precomputed large data context from uniformly processing large-scale datasets

#### **Clustering of ENCODE Biosamples**



→ Diversity PC

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

#### ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

#### BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

#### Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

#### Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers





50k target genes Metabolic pathway Cell cycle pathway p53 signaling pathway Network rewiring analyses: key cancerassociated regulator identification through network comparisons



Comm. (in press)] ('20), biorxiv + Nat. [Zhang et al.

#### **De-noising process by dimension reduction**



From  $TF \rightarrow gene (109 \times 50,000)$ to  $TF \rightarrow pathway (109 \times 50)$ 

> Hidden Layer (50 biological pathways?)

> > Challenge: how to define appropriate pathways?

[Zhang et al. ('20), biorxiv + Nat. Comm. (in press)]

Lectures.gersteinlab.org

# Automatic gene topic identification based on Latent Dirichlet Allocation

#### $TF \rightarrow gene$ network



[Zhang et al. ('19), biorxiv.org]

Lectures.gersteinlab.org





[Zhang et al. ('20), biorxiv + Nat. Comm. (in press)]

Lectures.gersteinlab.org

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

#### ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

#### BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

#### Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

#### Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

## **Mutation recurrence**









#### violation of the constant mutation rate assumption



## **Cancer Somatic Mutation Modeling**

#### PARAMETRIC MODELS

Model 1: Constant Background Mutation Rate (Model from Previous Work)

 $x_i$ : Binomial $(n_i, p)$ 

# Model 2a: Varying Mutation Rate with Single Covariate Correction

- $x_i$ : Binomial $(n_i, p_i)$
- $p_i$ : Beta $(\mu | R_i, \sigma | R_i)$
- $\mu | R_i, \sigma | R_i$  : constant within the same covariate rank

# Model 2b: Varying Mutation Rate with Multiple Covariate Correction

- $x_i$ : Binomial $(n_i, p_i)$
- $p_i$ : Beta $(\mu | \mathbf{R}_i, \sigma | \mathbf{R}_i)$
- $\mu | \mathbf{R}_i, \sigma | \mathbf{R}_i$ : constant within the same covariate rank

- Suppose there are k genome elements. For element i, define:
  - n;: total number of nucleotides
  - x<sub>i</sub>: the number of mutations within the element
  - -p: the mutation rate
  - $-R_i$ : the covariate rank of the element
  - Non-parametric model is useful when covariate data is missing for the studied annotations
    - Also sidesteps issue of properly identifying and modeling every relevant covariate (possibly hundreds)

#### **NON-PARAMETRIC MODELS**

Assume constant background mutation rate in local regions.

#### Model 3a: Random Permutation of Input

#### Annotations

Shuffle annotations within local region to assess background mutation rate.

#### Model 3b: Random Permutation of Input Variants

Shuffle variants within local region to assess background mutation rate.

[Lochovsky et al. Bioinformatics in press]

[Lochovsky et al. NAR ('15)]

## **MOAT-a: Annotation-based permutation**



[Lochovsky et al. Bioinformatics ('17)]

# **MOAT-v: Variant-based Permutation**



[Lochovsky et al. Bioinformatics ('17)]

# **MOAT-s: a variant on MOAT-v**

- A somatic variant simulator
  - Given a set of input variants, shuffle to new locations, taking genome structure into account
  - Like "Broad" approach in PCAWG

original variantspermuted variants

...

Binning whole genome

Marking equivalence classes (bins with similar covariate vectors)


# **LARVA Model Comparison**

- Comparison of mutation count frequency implied by the binomial model (model 1) and the beta-binomial model (model 2) relative to the empirical distribution
- The beta-binomial distribution is significantly better, especially for accurately modeling the over-dispersion of the empirical distribution



# **LARVA Results**



38 = Lectures.GersteinLab.org

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

#### **Tumor Evolution: Highlight the Ordering of Key Mutations**



# **Construct evolutionary trees in pRCC**

- Infer mutation order and tree structure based on mutation abundance (PhyloWGS, Deshwar et al., 2015)
- Some of the key mutations occur in all the clones while others are just in some parts of the tree





TCGA-06-116

TOGANAL C: TO

TOGARDAGESS

BRRF Httpc SF381ns

ROSINS BEATING BEATING



TOGANIEASHE





FOF B2ns SF361 rs

Mutation Populations distance (%) 0.5 **0** 30 Germline

[S. Li, B. Shuch and M. Gerstein PLOS Genetics ('17)]











CGAMES-

# Tree topology correlates with molecular subtypes



## Modelling the frequency of "generational" hitchhikers in a fitness population



#### Modeling scalar effect *k* on growth rate *r* based on VAF perturbations to g-hitchhikers



Generational time of mutational occurrence

## Determining tumor growth in low coverage tumors with known and unknown drivers

# Averaged and point growth progression for a low coverage CNS oligo-tumor



# Averaged and point growth progression for a low coverage thyroid adenocarcinoma tumor

## Application to an Ultra-Deep sequenced AML tumor



(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

# Conceptual extension of the canonical model of drivers and passengers



# Overall functional impact distribution of PCAWG mutations



 Funseq molecular functional impact of ~30M variants in >2500 PCAWG samples



In many PCAWG cohorts, the fraction of impactful "passengers" decreases with increase in total mutation burden (A result of selection?)



52



# Sub-clonal architecture of mutations in PCAWG

As expected, drivers are enriched in earlier subclones. Overall, no such enrichment among passengers.

High impact passengers are slightly enriched among early subclones (weak drivers?)

Particularly, passengers in tumor suppressor (in contrast to oncogenes, which require specific mutations).



# Continuous correlation of functional impact & VAF

Among mutations in driver genes: higher impact mutation

Still true after removing all known driver variants from driver genes. (Latent drivers?)

Outside driver genes: higher impact mutation (Deleterious passengers?)

54

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

# Mutational processes carry context-specific signatures



# Kidney cancer as an example: differential burdening correlates with mutational spectrum





# Signatures and molecular impact of passengers: ex of pRCC

Underlying mutational processes are stochastic but unevenly distributed, which can potentially explain the differential burdening of various genomic elements.

#### Differential Mutational burdening of TF-subnetworks due to SNVs breaking & creating binding sites



# Signature differences between high- and low-impact passengers



## Differing mutational processes could potentially explain the divergence of functional impacts among putative passengers.

# Mutational processes and fitness

• Mutational process dynamics exhibit common patterns in some cancer types



#### From:

):

Dentro, S.C., Leshchiner, I., Haase, K., Tarabichi, M., Wintersinger, J., Deshwar, A.G., Yu, K., Rubanova, Y., Macintyre, G., Vazquez-Garcia, I. and Kleinheinz, K., 2018. Portraits of genetic intra-tumour heterogeneity and subclonal selection across cancer types. *bioRxiv*.

# Mutational processes and fitness

- Do mutational processes have effects on fitness?
  - Not necessarily: primarily determine mutations in next generation, rather than number of offspring



• Mutational processes may have fitness effects over multiple generations



• We develop a framework for cyclic and multilevel causation in evolutionary processes Warrell, J., and Gerstein, M. Cyclic and Multilevel Causality in Evolutionary Processes. *bioRxiv* (accepted in *Biology and Philosophy*) (Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

# Missing heritability and polygenicity



# Additive effects model to quantify cumulative effect of nominal passengers in PCAWG



# Using additive effects to compare different categories of variants



# Overall additive variance increase for multiple cancer cohorts in PCAWG with the inclusion of passengers





Increase in the variance from ~50% using drivers alone to ~59% with putative passengers included, averaged across all cohorts.

# Element level additive variance for multiple cancer cohorts in PCAWG, comparing coding & non-coding



In addition to coding mutations. promoter & other noncoding mutations contributed significant amounts of extra variance (~2% & 7%).

## Recasting the additive effects model in a predictive context: Best Linear Unbiased Predictor (BLUP) analysis



Lower bound on # weak drivers (8.4 pan-cancer average; enriched for PCAWG genes w/ FDR<0.25)

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • Intro

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

#### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.

# <u>Tumor Evolution:</u> <u>Classification +</u> <u>Driver identification</u>

 Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes

0

Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

# Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers

(Topics in) Cancer Genomics: Annotating Non-coding Variants, Measuring Regulatory Network Rewiring, Building Background Mutation Models, Analyzing Tumor Evolution & Evaluating the Overall Impact of Passenger Mutations

#### • <u>Intro</u>

- PMI & Variant Prioritization; driver-passenger model
- Data source: PCAWG comprehensive WGS on >2.5K
   + focus on 35 pRCC WGS

## ENCODEC Annotation

- ENCODE cancer resource, with TF & RBP networks
- Cell-space view of TN pairs
- FunSeq variant impact measurement integrates conservation & network centrality

### Network Rewiring

- Highlights regulators that change targets greatly
- LDA approach (from textmining) finds those that greatly change their gene communities

## • BMR: LARVA/MOAT

- Uses parametric betabinomial model, explicitly modeling genomic covariates
- Non-parametric shuffles. Useful when explicit covariates not available.
- <u>Tumor Evolution:</u>
  <u>Classification +</u>
  Driver identification
  - Intro: Mutational timing & tree topology classifies pRCC subtypes
  - Identifying drivers from perturbations in VAF spectra from a single tumor (using many hitchhiking mutations to gain statistical support)

#### Overall Impact of Putative Passengers

- Not just high & low impact dichotomy
- How the fraction of high-impact SNVs scales & relates to survival
- Differences betw. Impact of early & late passenger mutations (eg in TSGs & oncogenes)

## Differential Impact of Signatures

- Diff. burdening of TF sub-networks naturally results from mutational spectra & signatures differentially affecting binding motifs.
- High & low impact mutations assoc. w/ diff. signatures
- How it all relates to selection?

## Additive Effects Model

- To quantify aggregated effect of passengers. Demonstratable effect, particularly for non-coding ones, in addition to known drivers.
- Recasting as a predictive model to est. number of weak drivers



ENCODEC.gersteinlab.org J Zhang, D Lee, V Dhiman, P Jiang, J Xu, P McGillivray, H Yang.... S Liu, K White

Evotum

FunSeq.gersteinlab.org Y Fu, E Khurana, Z Liu, S Lou, J Bedford, X Mu, K Yip E Khurana, Y Fu, H Kang, X Mu... M Rubin, C Tyler-Smith

**{LARVA, MOAT}.** gersteinlab.org **Lochovsky**, J **Zhang**, Y Fu, E Khurana

## PanCancer.info

S **Kumar**, J Warrell, W Meyerson, P McGillivary, L Salichos, S Li, A Fundichely, E Khurana, C Chan, M Nielsen, C Herrman, A Harmanci, L Lochovsky,Y Zhang, X Li, G Getz, J Pedersen,

pRCC S Li, B Shuch


#### Info about this talk

## No Conflicts

Unless explicitly listed here. There are no conflicts of interest relevant to the material in this talk

## **General PERMISSIONS**

- This Presentation is copyright Mark Gerstein, Yale University, 2019.
- Please read permissions statement at

#### sites.gersteinlab.org/Permissions

• Basically, feel free to use slides & images in the talk with PROPER acknowledgement (via citation to relevant papers or website link). Paper references in the talk were mostly from Papers.GersteinLab.org.

# **PHOTOS & IMAGES**

For thoughts on the source and permissions of many of the photos and clipped images in this presentation see streams.gerstein.info . In particular, many of the images have particular EXIF tags, such as kwpotppt , that can be easily queried from flickr, viz: flickr.com/photos/mbgmbg/tags/kwpotppt